

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/649,484 | 08/28/2000 | Eliot R. Long | 10566/4 | 8431 |
| 757 | 7590 07/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE | | | SOTOMAYOR, JOHN | |
| P.O. BOX 103 | 395 | | | |
| CHICAGO, I | L 60610 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3714 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 07/12/2004 | • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | 09/649,484 | LONG, ELIOT R. | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | John L. Sotomayor | 3714 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| I. ☐ The amendment filed on 28 January 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☐ entered. | | | | | | | |
| b) antered as directed to matters of form not affecting | g the scope of the invention. | | | | | | |
| c) disapproved because the amendment was filed af | disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. | | | | | | |
| | Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) | | | | | | |
| and the required fee to withdraw the application | and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. | | | | | | |
| d) disapproved. See explanation below. | | | | | | | |
| e) entered in part. See explanation below. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant has added dependent claims to correct the dependence of an element of the claims from a reference to element (c) in an allowed claim to element (d) of said allowed claim. The added claims do not change the scope of the allowed claims and do not change the scope of the dependent claims. Applicant has simply changed five claims with a multiple dependency into two sets of five claims that each have a single dependency upon allowed claims. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | , | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| , · | | CHANGAL HARRIS PRIMARY EXAMINER | sru | | | | |
| | | ř | | | | | |